Case 1:16-cv-10253-GAO Document 58 Filed 02/24/17 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

AKAMI TECHNOLOGIES, INC	C	<u></u>
Plain	ttiff(s)	
v.		Civil Action No. <u>16-10253-GAO</u>
LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC	C	_
Defe	ndant(s)	
	NOTICE OF SCHEDULING	CONFERENCE
on MARCH 20, 2017 16.6 (for patent cases). The cour with sections (B), (C), and (D) of continuance only if actually enga 16.1 and section (A) of LR 16.6 f	, in accordance wi t considers attendance of the senior law f LR 16.1 ¹ and LR 16.6 for patent cases ged on trial. Failure to comply fully w for patent cases may result in sanctions	on the 7th floor at 2:00 pm th Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Local Rules (LR) 16.1 and expers ultimately responsible for the case and compliance is to be of the utmost importance. Counsel may be given a fith this notice and with sections (B), (C), and (D) of LR under LR 1.3. Counsel for the plaintiff is responsible for tweer or appearance with the court, are notified of the
		George A. O'Toole, Jr
		United States District Judge
2/24/17		
Date	By:_ <i>_/</i>	S/ Paul Lyness Deputy Clerk
¹ These sections of Local Rule 16.1	(See LR 16.6 for additional provisions for pate	ent cases) provide:

- (B) <u>Obligation of counsel to confer</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the judge, counsel for the parties shall, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), confer no later than fourteen (21) days before the date for the scheduling conference for the purpose of:
 - (1) preparing an agenda of matters to be discussed at the scheduling conference,
 - (2) preparing a proposed pretrial schedule for the case that includes a plan for discovery, and
 - (3) considering whether they will consent to trial by magistrate judge.
- (C) <u>Settlement proposals</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the judge, the plaintiff shall present written settlement proposals to all defendants no later than fourteen (14) days before the date for the scheduling conference. Defense counsel shall have conferred with their clients on the subject of settlement before the scheduling conference and be prepared to respond to the proposals at the scheduling conference.
- (D) <u>Joint statement</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the judge, the parties are required to file, no later than seven (7) business days before the scheduling conference and after consideration of the topics contemplated by Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b) and 26(f), a joint statement containing a proposed pretrial schedule, which shall include:
 - (1) a joint discovery plan scheduling the time and length for all discovery events, that shall
 - (a) conform to the obligation to limit discovery set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b), and
 - (b) take into account the desirability of conducting phased discovery in which the first phase is limited to developing information needed for a realistic assessment of the case and, if the case does not terminate, the second phase is directed at information needed to prepare for trial; and
 - (2) a proposed schedule for the filing of motions; and
- (3) certifications signed by counsel and by an authorized representative of each party affirming that each party and that party's counsel have conferred:
 - (a) with a view to establishing a budget for the costs of conducting the full course--and various alternative courses--of the litigation; and
 - (b) to consider the resolution of the litigation through the use of alternative dispute resolution programs such as those outlined in Local Rule 16.4.

To the extent that all parties are able to reach agreement on a proposed pretrial schedule, they shall so indicate. To the extent that the parties differ on what the pretrial schedule should be, they shall set forth separately the items on which they differ and indicate the nature of that difference. The purpose of the parties' proposed pretrial schedule or schedules shall be to advise the judge of the parties' best estimates of the amounts of time they will need to accomplish specified pretrial steps. The parties' proposed agenda for the scheduling conference, and their proposed pretrial schedule or schedules, shall be considered by the judge as advisory only.